Jacobs

Challenging today. Reinventing tomorrow.

ENVIRONMENTAL FORENSICS AND GIS TECHNOLOGY APPLIED TO PER- AND POLYFLUOROALKYL SUBSTANCES (PFAS)

REMTECH EUROPE 23 September 2020 Jane Thrasher, Francesca Giacomello, <u>Alessandro Monteverdi</u>, Bill Di Guiseppi

Discussion Topics



- Why are PFAS conducive to forensic assessment?
- Forensics applied to Site-Specific Case Studies
 - Discovery of Unknown Source
 - Screening tools (TOP, TOF)
 - "Old" AFFF versus "New" AFFF
- Geospatial identification of potential PFAS source zones

Environmental forensic assessment

- What is environmental forensic?
 - Who's responsible?
 - What is that stuff?
- Environmental forensics applied to PFAS
 - Sources (industrial, consumer products, different products for different uses)
 - Knowledge of PFAS physical-chemical properties
 - Fate and transport factors
 - Chemical methods for individual and bulk of PFAS
 - Complex toxicology

Chemical Complexity Affects Uses and Mobility Assessment

- Multiple Lines of Inquiry approach
- "Screening level" assessment: useful and inexpensive
- "Conclusive" assessment is almost impossible



Sources and chemical characteristics Know your sources and products (Build a library of PFAS usage)

- Relative mobility affects transport and distribution
- Can be incorporated into assessment to interpret observed chemistry

4



Forensics applied to Site Specific Case Studies

Discovery of Unknown Sources

- Abandoned site in an industrial area
- Chrome plating shop, unknown whether they used a PFAS mist suppressant
- Had a fire, AFFF may have been used



© Jacobs 2020



Forensics/Fingerprinting Tools

- 1000's of compounds; test methods only target a few
- Regulated PFAS are simple, highly fluorinated acids -PFOS, PFOA and similar (PFAAs)
- Compounds that degrade to PFAAs are called "precursors"
- Precursors can be estimated using a non-standard test (TOP Assay), but that too misses a large amount of PFAS mass
- PIGE or Total Organic Fluorine tests capture entire PFAS mass



Robel et al., 2017, Ritter et al., 2017

© Jacobs 2020

PFAS Release Timing



Geospatial identification of potential PFAS source zones



Nationwide Drinking Water Source Vulnerability Assessment

Bedrock Groundwater Vulnerability



Superficial Groundwater Vulnerability





Drinking Water Zones



GW Supply Protection



Combined Risk Ranking using Spatial Analysis



Potential source sites from open source data

©Jacobs 2020

PFAS Chemical Assessment



Takeaway messages and looking ahead

1. Forensics/Fingerprint not a "conclusive" assessment but inexpensive, be cautious about using this resource

2. Multiple Lines of Inquiry

3. GIS tool including multicriteria analysis is an effective way of identifying sites which have higher environmental sensitivity

4. The future of forensics and PFAS assessment

- 1. Further development of Fingerprinting and analytical methods
- 2. Use of GIS to foster search and evaluation of vulnerable areas
- 3. Machine learning and AI to help practitioners and client understanding and "digest" the huge amount of data

- P it's Personal (polluted water, food consumption)
- F Forever chemicals (long lasting, persistent)
- A Accountable (responsible consumer and industrial usage)
- S Sinister (diabolical* chemicals)
- *cit. John Cherry University of Guelph

Thank You!

Alessandro.Monteverdi@jacobs.com Francesca.Giacomello@jacobs.com Jane.Thrasher@jacobs.com Bill.Diguiseppi@jacobs.com

Jacobs Challenging today. Reinventing tomorrow.

in 🖸 🎔 f 🖸

-5

Copyright Notice

Important

The material in this presentation has been prepared by Jacobs[®].

©2020 Jacobs Engineering Group Inc. All rights reserved. This presentation is protected by U.S. and International copyright laws. Reproduction and redistribution without written permission is prohibited. Jacobs, the Jacobs logo, and all other Jacobs trademarks are the property of Jacobs Engineering Group Inc.

Jacobs is a trademark of Jacobs Engineering Group Inc.

©Jacobs 2020